Blenderized Feeds

Feed patients right.
Feed patients now.
INTRODUCTION

Across many centuries, the early history of nutrition was filled with accounts of feeding brandy, milk, raw eggs, and meat broths by way of the rectum or the upper gastrointestinal tract.\textsuperscript{1,2} By the mid-20th century, hospital staff members began “blenderizing” foods cooked in hospital kitchens to create liquid mixtures containing a wider range of nutrients. Then in the 1970s commercial formulas of defined composition were introduced for tube feedings.\textsuperscript{1,2}

Now in the 21st century, nutrition is given as therapy rather than as simple sustenance. This includes standard formulas with or without added fiber, and disease-specific formulas for people with diabetes, cancer, and pulmonary or renal disease.\textsuperscript{3} In addition, new immune-modulating and tolerance-promoting formulas are increasingly used to improve patient outcomes.\textsuperscript{4}
In some parts of the world, for economic and cultural reasons, hospital staff members still blenderize foods to make enteral tube feeding mixtures in some hospitals. Such feedings are thought to be naturally healthy and economical; study results reveal that neither belief is true. Blenderized feeds have safety limitations that must be recognized. Due to the nature of food ingredients, these products contain bacteria, even when hospital kitchens follow clean preparation procedures. When hung at room temperature for feeding, contamination by bacterial overgrowth is likely to occur. Blenderized feeds must be delivered to the stomach where the acidic milieu helps limit, but not eliminate, bacterial growth. Contamination can result in patient infections that slow recovery and cause longer hospital stays and higher costs of care.

Beyond risk of contamination, blenderized feeds have other problems too (Table 1). It is difficult to maintain batch-to-batch consistency of blenderized foods. It is also difficult to make blenderized feeds for volume-sensitive patients (i.e., mixtures with high caloric density). Further, fully pureed mixtures are impossible to make, so blenderized mixtures do not flow well through feeding tubes. Finally, blenderized feeds lack the benefits of pharmaconutrients, which are now included in disease-specific commercial formulas (e.g., short-chain fats and small peptides to help improve tolerance, omega-3 fatty acids for suppression of inflammation, and immune-modulating ingredients such as arginine and antioxidants).

Table 1 Comparison of Blenderized Feeding Solutions With Sterile Commercial Enteral Formulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concern</th>
<th>Blenderized</th>
<th>Commercial Enteral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contamination</td>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent nutrient delivery</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suitability for volume-sensitive patients</td>
<td>Hard to prepare as high-density nutrition</td>
<td>Available at high-calorie densities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feeding tube flow</td>
<td>Poor flow with gravity feeding or with pump</td>
<td>Few or no flow problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolerance and immune function</td>
<td>No special ingredients</td>
<td>Available with tolerance-promoting or other pharmaconutrients</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHAT IS THE CLINICAL EVIDENCE ABOUT BLENDERIZED FEEDS?

Studies have shown that 90% or more of blenderized feeds are contaminated with unsafe levels of bacteria.\(^7,8\) A study of hospital-prepared blenderized feeds in Iran found that 99% were unacceptably contaminated (in excess of standards set by the Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition Group of British Dietetic Association) and presented a substantial risk to patients who received them.\(^7\) Using a similar standard, a study in the Philippines found 75% to 96% of blenderized feeds were contaminated.\(^8\)

WHAT DO NUTRITION EXPERTS SAY ABOUT BLENDERIZED FEEDS?

According to European experts on clinical nutrition, blenderized enteral feeds may be necessary when ready-to-use liquid or hydrated powdered formulas cannot be obtained, as during natural catastrophes.\(^5\) Such feedings can be delivered by way of nasogastric or gastrostomy tubes to the stomach where acid provides some natural decontamination; infusion into the duodenum or jejunum is discouraged due to safety concerns.
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