Forgotten not Fixed: Tackling the Increasing Burden of Malnutrition in England

The increasing number of cases of malnutrition in hospital and associated deaths reflect a system-wide failure to consistently screen and manage patients.¹

Malnutrition remains a significant, growing, yet largely preventable problem.

**Malnutrition...**

- **affects 3 million** people in Britain – nearly 5% of the entire population.¹
- Malnutrition results in various adverse health outcomes for patients, including high numbers of non-elective admissions, greater dependency on hospital beds for longer and progression to long term care sooner.
- New research commissioned by the BSNA exposes fundamental inconsistencies in the way that data on malnutrition are collected and reported by individual Trusts.

2011 Nutrition Screening Week data found that malnutrition affects 1 in 4 adults on admission into hospital.⁵

Malnutrition in England has an annual cost of £19.6 bn representing 15% of total public expenditure on health and social care.²

The following tools have been developed which could and should be used to manage malnutrition:

- Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool ‘MUST’ (2003);
- NICE Clinical Guideline 32 (2006); and
- NICE Quality Standard 24 (2012)³

Yet analysis of patients managed in 221 NHS trusts in 2015/16 reveals that:

- 91 hospital trusts in England recorded fewer than 1 in 2,000 patients as showing signs of malnutrition
- 50% are large NHS trusts with more than 100,000 admissions per year

This is much lower than official estimates for malnutrition prevalence in hospitals, implying that the true scale of malnutrition is hidden within the system.

New research commissioned by the BSNA exposes fundamental inconsistencies in the way that data on malnutrition are collected and reported by individual Trusts.

This situation is unacceptable in any modern healthcare system. The British Specialist Nutrition Association is calling for:

1. **Guidelines to be implemented and followed in all healthcare settings**
   - NICE Clinical Guideline 32 should be made mandatory.

2. **New clinical pathway**
   - The introduction of a new, comprehensive jointly developed and delivered clinical care pathway for the frail elderly, across all systems.

3. **Additional incentives**
   - Perhaps through the introduction of a new Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF) or equivalent on malnutrition.

4. **Recognition of the integral role of oral nutritional supplements (ONS)**
   - ONS should be accessible to all patients who need them, alongside support from dietitians. Nutritional intervention should only be used when appropriate and be reviewed regularly.

The advantages of such an approach for the health economy are clear:

The provision of nutritional support to 85% of patients at medium and high risk of malnutrition would save £325,000 to £432,000 per 100,000 people.²

It costs more **NOT** to treat malnutrition than to do so.

It is estimated that £5,000 could be saved per patient² through better nutrition management.
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